# Information structure and syntactic choices in Kelabit

Charlotte Hemmings, University of Oxford charlotte.hemmings@ling-phil.ox.ac.uk

#### 1. Introduction

- ❖ This paper investigates the role of information structure in determining syntactic choices in Kelabit, a Western Austronesian language spoken in Northern Sarawak (Kroeger 1998).
- The syntactic choices explored are:
  - > voice construction
  - word order
  - > case form of pronouns
- ❖ Like other Western Austronesian languages, Kelabit has SYMMETRICAL VOICE alternations:
  - (1) Kelabit
    - a. Actor Voice

Nengelaak *nuba'* **tesineh nedih.**PFV.AV.cook rice mother 3SG.POSS
'Her mother cooked rice.'

# b. Undergoer Voice

Linaak *tesineh nedih* **nuba'**.

PFV.UV.cook mother 3SG.POSS rice

'Her mother cooked rice.' (elicitation, fieldnotes)

❖ These allow different mappings of arguments to functions without changes to the syntactic transitivity (Riesberg 2014):

Actor Voice: Actor = **Subject**, Undergoer = *Object*Undergoer Voice: Undergoer = **Subject**, Actor = *Object* 

- ❖ Kelabit also has flexible word order for the subject, which can occur either pre- or post-verbally. The object always follows the verb.
- ❖ In actor voice, VSO order is also possible but less frequent than the other word orders
  - (2) Kelabit Word Order in Actor Voice
    - a. SVO

**La'ih sineh** ne-kuman *bua' kaber*. man DEM PFV-AV.eat fruit pineapple 'The man ate pineapple.'

# b. VOS

Ne-kuman *bua' kaber* **la'ih sineh**.

PFV-AV.eat fruit pineapple man DEM

'The man ate pineapple.'

### c. VSO

Ne-kuman **la'ih sineh** *bua' kaber*.

PFV-AV.eat man DEM fruit pineapple 'The man ate pineapple.'

Kelabit Word Order in Undergoer Voice

## d. SVO

Bua' kaber kinan la'ih sineh Fruit pineapple UV.PFV.eat man DEM 'The man ate pineapple.'

#### e. VOS

kinan la'ih sineh bua' kaber dih UV.PFV.eat man DEM fruit pineapple DEM 'The man ate the pineapple' (elicitation, fieldnotes)

- ❖ In general, UV tend to be verb-initial and AV clauses are generally SVO by default.
- Clause-final subjects are typically definite/given.
- There are two other word order constructions that allow information to be expressed in a pre-verbal position: hanging topic constructions & focus fronting:
  - (3) Kelabit Hanging Topic Constructions
  - a. Paul kedieh, nekuman *bua' ebpuk* **t=ieh**Paul EMPH.3SG AV.PFV.eat fruit passion PT=3SG.NOM
    'As for Paul, he ate passion fruit'
  - b. Bua' ebpuk suk na'ah ih, kinan *Paul* **n=idih** fruit passion REL afore PT UV.PFV.eat Paul PT=DEM 'As for the passionfruit, Paul ate it.' (elicitation, fieldnotes)
  - (4) Kelabit Focus Fronting
  - a. **Peter** teh suk kuman *bua' kaber*Peter PT REL AV.eat fruit pineapple
    'It was Peter who ate the pineapple'
  - b. **Bua' kaber** teh kinan *Peter* fruit pineapple PT UV.PFV.eat Peter 'It was pineapple that Peter ate' (elicitation, fieldnotes)

- ❖ Finally, Kelabit has DIFFERENTIAL ACTOR MARKING in the undergoer voice construction.
- ❖ The expected case-marking in Western Austronesian is summarised in Table 1:

Table 1. Case-Marking in Western Austronesian

	Actor	Undergoer	
ACTOR VOICE	NOM	OBL	
UNDERGOER VOICE	GEN	NOM	

- \* Kelabit does not have OBL case for actor voice undergoers.
- ❖ Moreover, in Kelabit UV constructions both NOM and GEN alternate as a means of expressing UV actors:

# (5) Kelabit Differential Actor Marking

## a. Undergoer Voice (GEN actor)

Seni'er *kuh* **t=ieh**UV.see 1SG.GEN PT=3SG.NOM
'I saw him'

# b. Undergoer Voice (NOM actor)

Seni'er *uih* **t=ieh**UV.see 1SG.NOM PT=3SG.NOM
'I saw him'

- ❖ Consequently, speakers make syntactic choices in terms of VOICE, WORD ORDER, and CASE FORM when expressing transitive events.
- ❖ Since information structure is known to affect these choices in other languages, we can ask whether information structure plays a role in determining syntactic choices in Kelabit?

#### 2. Information Structure

- ❖ Information structure can be understood as a formal mechanism for facilitating effective information exchange or update (Dalrymple & Nikolaeva 2011, Erteschik-Shir 2007)
- ❖ Information is essentially built up of propositions, structured according to what the hearer is presupposed to know, and what they are to learn as a result of communication.
- ❖ The most important and well-defined roles cross-linguistically are TOPIC and FOCUS (Krifka 2008, Lambrecht 1994: 127, 213):

Information Structure Roles

## > Topic

An entity that the speaker identifies and about which a proposition is made.

# > Focus

The informative part of the proposition that makes an utterance into an assertion and indicates the presence of alternatives.

❖ We can partition clauses into a) topic vs comment and b) focus vs background.

- \* These are identified in Kelabit using the following methods:
  - ➤ Information structure DIAGNOSTIC TESTS and grammaticality judgements (cf. Lambrecht 1994, Van der Wal 2016)
  - Analysing examples in context in a NATURALISTIC TEXT CORPUS (Hemmings 2019)
  - ➤ Using the 'unhappy rats' TRANSLATION TASK in which the same sentence is presented for translation in differing information structure contexts (Latrouite & Riester 2018).

### 3. Word Order & Information Structure

- Fronting can be used as a means of ordering focus before background (6):
  - (6) Kelabit

Context: 'Did Andy hit John yesterday?' No...

- a. [**Paul**]<sub>focus</sub> teh suk nemupu' *ieh*Paul PT REL PFV.AV.hit 3SG.NOM
  - 'It was Paul who hit him (John)' [narrow focus on actor]
- b. [Paul]<sub>focus</sub> teh suk pinupu' neh
  Paul PT REL PFV.UV.hit 3SG.GEN
  'It was Paul that he (Andy) hit' [narrow focus on undergoer]
- c. [nemepag Paul]<sub>focus</sub> **teh=ieh**AV.PFV.slap Paul PT=3SG.NOM

  'He (Andy) slapped Paul' [predicate focus on verb+undergoer]
- d. [pipag *uih*]<sub>focus</sub> **teh=ieh**UV.PFV.slap 1SG.NOM PT=3SG.NOM

  'I slapped him (John)' [predicate focus on verb+actor]
- e. [edto ma'un]<sub>focus</sub> **teh=ieh** nemupu' *ieh*day before PT=3SG.NOM AV.PFV.hit 3SG.NOM
  'It was the the day before yesterday that he hit him' [narrow focus on adjunct]
  (elicitation, fieldnotes)
- ❖ These orders place focus before background information as is common in languages that follow the PRINCIPLE OF NEWSWORTHINESS (Mithun 1992)
- ❖ However, there is no one-to-one link between position and information structure role, since it is not only initial subjects that can be focused.
- ❖ *In-situ* object can also have a narrow focus reading (7) and basic order AV clauses are ok in most contexts (8).

# (7) Kelabit

Context: Did Andy hit John yesterday? No...

## a. Focused Undergoer

nemupu' [Paul]<sub>focus</sub> t=ieh

AV.PFV.hit Paul PT=3SG.NOM

'He hit Paul'

### b. Focused Actor

pinupu' [Paul]focus t=ieh

UV.PFV.hit Paul PT=3SG.NOM

'Paul hit him.'

## c. Focused Adjunct

pinupu' *neh* [edto ma'un]<sub>focus</sub> **t=ieh**UV.PFV.hit 3SG.GEN day before PT=3SG.NOM
'It was the day before that he hit him' (elicitation, fieldnotes)

## (8) Kelabit

## a. Narrow Focus on Undergoer

Q. kuman *enun* **t=ieh**?

AV.eat what PT=3sg.NOM?

'What is he eating?'

A. neh **ieh** kuman [bua' kaber neh]<sub>focus</sub>
DEM 3SG.NOM AV.eat fruit pineapple DEM

'He is eating the pineapple'

# b. Broad Focus on Verb+Undergoer

O. naru' enun Peter?

AV.do what Peter?

'What is Peter doing?'

(1) neh **Peter** [kuman bua' kaber]<sub>focus</sub>

DEM Peter AV.eat fruit pineapple

'Peter is eating pineapple'

## c. Sentence Focus

Q. Kapeh tebey'?

how actually

'What happened?'

A. [nih **Peter** kuman *bua' kaber nedih*]<sub>focus</sub>

DEM Peter AV.eat fruit pineapple 3SG.POSS

'Peter is eating pineapple' (elicitation, fieldnotes)

❖ Moreover, the same information structure context can prompt speakers to choose different word orders:

## (9) Kelabit Unhappy Rats

Context: Cats are so aggressive. <u>They chase squirrels. They chase birds</u>. Some even chase dogs. I heard that cats were seen chasing a small kangaroo in Australia. <u>They also chase rats</u>, of course. But that is good.

## a. AV SVO

Ideh ngalo labo puur. Ideh ngalo manuk [...] 'They chase squirrels, they chase birds'

ideh peh ngalo labo i'eyk meto'
3PL.NOM PT AV.chase rat as.well
'They also chase rats'

#### f. AV VSO

Ngalo tideh labo puur. Ngalo tideh manuk [...] 'They chase squirrels, they chase birds'

ngalo t=ideh labo i'eyk meto' AV.chase PT=3PL.NOM rat as.well 'They also chase rats'

# g. AV VOS

Metanur labo puur n=ideh.

AV.chase squirrel PT=3PL.NOM
'They chase squirrels'

Metanur manuk nideh [...] kineh tideh metanur labo i'eyk 'they chase birds [...] they also chase rats'

## h. uv VOS

Tu'en deh metanur teh labo puur. Tu'en deh metanur teh manuk [...] 'They chase squirrels, they chase birds'

Tu'en deh metanur ayu' teh labo i'eyk.¹ UV.IRR.DO 3PL.GEN AV.chase EMPH PT rat 'They really/also chase rats' (unhappy rats translation task)

- ❖ Hence, all the different word orders in AV, as well as UV constructions, can be used in a context where the actor is a continuing topic.
- ❖ Consequently, word order can be used as a strategy to mark information structure and in particular fronting can be used to indicate the focus of a sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nb. This is an alternative periphrastic strategy for expressing undergoer voice using the UV irrealis form of the verb 'to do' tu'en + actor + AV.verb + undergoer.

\* But there is no one-to-one link between word order, grammatical function and information structure role.

## 4. Differential Marking

- ❖ As in other languages (Dalrymple & Nikolaeva 2011, Witzlack-Makarevich & Seržant 2018), differential marking in Kelabit appears to be triggered by information structure.
- ❖ GEN actors in UV are typically continuing topics (as would be expected of actors/pronouns (Witzlack-Makarevich & Seržant 2018: 11):

#### (10)Kelabit

**Context:** story about Dayang Beladan, a turtle who had her prized instrument stolen by a monkey. She now has her instrument back but has spotted the monkey again...

Nalap [neh]<sub>topic</sub> pupu'

UV.PFV.fetch 3SG.GEN hitting.implement

'She [Dayang Beladan] fetched something to hit with'

Nukab bubpu' [neh]<sub>topic</sub> daan UV.PFV.open 3sg.gen door hut

'Opened the door to the hut'

Nalap  $[neh]_{topic}$ dteh kayuh UV.PFV.fetch 3SG.GEN stick one

Nulin [neh]<sub>topic</sub> kuyad sineh UV.PFV.throw 3SG.GEN monkey DEM

NOM actors in UV constructions mark a contrastively focused actor and an undergoer topic:2

#### (11)Kelabit

**Context:** discussing necklaces made by the speaker and the speaker's aunt. Pointing to a particular necklace on the table...

'I've known how to make necklaces since I was young, I used to string beads following a great aunt of mine [...] She would make bead caps in the past, of orange beads. I'd watch her doing it. Then I'd make my own orange bead cap'

Kayu' inih, senuuk neh.  $[uih]_{focus}$ UV.PFV.string 1SG.NOM DEM 'Like this one, I strung that [pointing to the bead cap on the table].'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Picked up a piece of wood'

<sup>&#</sup>x27;And threw it at the monkey' (folk story, PDA10112013CH\_01)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The use of NOM actors in UV constructions was infrequent in the narrative corpus I analysed, containing six pear story retellings, five traditional folk stories and 3 news reports. It may be more common in conversational data.

## (12) Kelabit

Setu'uh neh, nalap  $[ieh]_{focus}$  **t=ieh** actually DEM UV.PFV.take 3SG.NOM PT=3SG 'But actually he took it'

- ❖ The link between NOM and contrastive focus, and GEN and topic status, is confirmed by looking at information structure diagnostic tests.
- ❖ In contexts where the actor is in focus (13), NOM is preferred. In contexts where the actor is a topic (14), GEN is preferred:

# (13) Kelabit Actor = Focus

### a. Context: who saw him?

Seni'er [uih]<sub>focus</sub> t=ieh
UV.PFV.saw 1SG.NOM PT=3SG.NOM
'I saw him' (\*kuh)

## b. Context: fight over who hit some third person first...

Pinupu' [*uih*]<sub>focus</sub> **t=ieh** pu'un, am dih iko
UV.PFV.hit 1SG.NOM PT=3SG.NOM first NEG DEM 2SG.NOM
'I hit him first, not you' (i.e. you didn't hit him first) (\*kuh)

## (14) *Kelabit Actor* = *Topic*

**Context:** Once upon a time there were two people. One was called Peter. One was called Paul. Peter ate a pineapple...

[Paul kedieh]<sub>topic</sub>, kinan *neh* **bua' ebpuk**Paul 3SG.EMPH UV.PFV.eat 3SG.GEN fruit passion
'As for Paul, he ate the passion fruit' (\*ieh)

- ❖ Consequently, the use of NOM actors in UV is licensed by contrastive focus.
- ❖ This conforms to a common pattern of DIFFERENTIAL ACTOR MARKING cross-linguistically (Fauconnier 2011, Fauconnier & Verstraete 2014, McGregor 2010, Witzlack-Makarevich & Seržant 2018)
- Nonetheless, not all topics in Kelabit are GEN marked since subject pronouns are in NOM case.
- ❖ Moreover, the use of NOM actors in UV is not the only means of marking a contrastively focused actor − they can also be fronted in an AV construction:

### (15) Kelabit Contrasted Actor

Context: 'If I don't hold up the ceiling, it will fall in, he said.'

# **Actor Voice**

a. Uih teh ne-ngimet inih keneh
 1SG.NOM PT PFV-AV.hold DEM he.said
 'I am the one holding this [the ceiling] up, he said (and not anyone else).'
 (folk story, BAR17082014CH\_06)

❖ Thus there is no one-to-one link between form and information structure but a marked construction (NOM actor in UV) can be used as a strategy to convey a marked information structure reading.

### **5. Voice Construction**

- ❖ A long-standing question among Austronesianists is what determines voice choice and whether this is linked to information structure (see Chen & McDonnell 2019 for an overview)
- ❖ In Kelabit, voice interacts with word order and differential marking since only subjects can appear pre-verbally and DAM is restricted to UV. Thus, information structure may determine voice choice if speakers wish to use marked constructions.
- ❖ However, it is not the case that voice (i.e. the mapping of arguments to functions) is determined by information structure or the role of the 'subject' as topic or focus.
- This is evident from the previous two sections, which showed that:
  - ➤ Different word orders within AV or UV can be associated with different focus-background partitions (see section 3)
  - ➤ Different case-forms within UV can be associated with different information structure roles for the non-privileged argument (see section 4).
- ❖ Nonetheless, it may be that the use of AV/UV is preferred in certain information structure contexts, as has been argued for other Western Austronesian languages (Latrouite & Riester 2018)
- To explore this, I used the unhappy rats translation task in which there are several short paragraphs for speakers to translate.
  - ➤ The first 6 paragraphs repeat the sentence 'cats chase rats' in different information structure contexts. In this case, actor and undergoer are both indefinite and generic.
  - ➤ The second 6 paragraphs repeat the sentence 'my sister kicked my dog' in different information structure contexts. In this case, the actor and undergoer are definite.
- ❖ Latrouite & Riester (2018) argue that information structural prominence is a key factor in voice choice in Tagalog. They define prominence as having a non-default mapping whereby the default for actors = topic, and the default for undergoers = focus. This leads to the following hypotheses:
  - ➤ If the undergoer has a non-default mapping (topic), UV is preferred
  - > If actor has a non-default mapping (focus), AV is preferred
  - ➤ If both actor & undergoer have default mappings, voice choice is determined by other parameters (e.g. the definiteness of the undergoer)
  - ➤ If both actor & undergoer have non-default mappings, the focality of the actor appears to be more prominent and AV is preferred.
  - ➤ Non-default mappings may also be expressed using word order/ marked constructions rather than through voice choice alone.
- ❖ A similar case could be made for Kelabit...

Table 2. Unhappy Rats Results (Generic Undergoer)

Context	AV	UV	
1. $U = topic$ , $V + A = new$	5	1	
2. U = topic, V = given, A = contrasted	2	4	
3. $A = topic$ , $V+U = new$	4	2	
4.  A+U = contrasted,  V = given	5	1	
5. All focus	5 <sup>3</sup>	0	
6. A = topic, U = contrasted, V = given	5	1	
	26/35	9/35	

Table 3. Unhappy Rats Results (Definite Undergoer)

Context	AV	UV	
1. $U = topic$ , $A+V = new$	6	0	
2. U = topic, A = contrasted	6	0	
3. $A = topic$ , $V+U = new$	1	5	
4. All focus	6	0	
5. A = topic, U = contrasted, V = given	$1^4$	4	
6.  A+U = topic,  V = new	0	6	
	20/35	15/35	

❖ In contexts 1 & 2, both actor & undergoer have non-default mappings. The hypothesis from Tagalog was that this would prompt AV and this generally applies in Kelabit too:

### (16) **Actor Voice**

Kineh teh kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' ieh Like.that PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick 3SG.NOM 'My sister also kicked him'

❖ The exception is context 2 with the generic undergoer where a number of consultants chose UV constructions. However, in almost all of these, the contrasted actor is expressed as a hanging topic. This may represent the givenness of the undergoer and the contrasted status of the actor:

## (17) **Undergoer Voice + Actor Hanging Topic**

Useyng peh, debpen deh teh labo i'eyk cat PT UV.IRR.catch 3PL.GEN PT rat 'Cats, they also catch rats'

❖ In all focus contexts, e.g. 5 for generic undergoers and 4 for definite undergoers, where the actor has a non-default status, only AV constructions were used:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> One speaker forgot to translate this sentence in the paragraph

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> One clause expressed using a non-voice marked verb.

### (18) Actor Voice

Mey teh kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' uku' kudih kail-kail and PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS hard-REDUP 'And my sister kicked my dog very badly'

❖ Similarly, in context 4 for generic undergoers where both actor & undergoer are new/contrasted and the verb is given, AV is the majority choice:

### (19) Actor Voice

Useyng peh ngalo mey ngenep labo i'eyk cat PT AV.chase and AV.catch rat 'Cats also chase and catch rats'

❖ In context 6 for definite undergoers, where the undergoer has a non-default mapping of topic, UV is used:

# (20) **Undergoer Voice**

Kerepen neh teh ieh temidteh ih.
UV.IRR.bite 3SG.GEN PT 3SG.NOM sometimes
'He sometimes bites her'

- ❖ Finally, in contexts where both actor & undergoer have default mappings (e.g. contexts 3 & 6 for generic undergoers, and contexts 3 & 5 for definite undergoers) the choice of voice appears to depend to a certain extent on the definiteness of the undergoer.
- For generic undergoers, AV is the majority choice:

## (21) Actor Voice

Useyng meto' ngalo mey ngenep labo nuk merar cat as.well Av.chase and Av.catch rat REL big 'Cats also chase and catch big rats'

❖ For definite undergoers, UV is the majority choice:

# (22) Undergoer Voice

Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS 'She kicks my dog'

- ❖ Consequently, voice choice in Kelabit can be seen to follow similar patterns to Tagalog in that non-default mappings between semantic roles and information structure roles may prompt the selection of AV over UV.
- ❖ However, the study reaffirms that the information status of the privileged argument itself does not determine voice choice in fact, much as in naturalistic corpora, UV constructions appear most frequently in the unhappy rats translation task in contexts where the actor is a topic, regardless of the status of the undergoer.

#### 6. Conclusion

- ❖ Information structure can play a role in unexpected syntactic choices, e.g. verb-initial word order in AV, differential use of NOM in UV
- ❖ This shows that symmetrical voice languages can be affected by the same information structure considerations as ergative and accusative languages.
- ❖ However, there is no one-to-one correlation between word-order, case form, voice and information structure. Instead they combine and interact to express information in context.
- This supports treating symmetrical voice as a syntactic alternation in the mapping of arguments to functions, rather than an information-structurally driven alternation in the encoding of topic/focus.

## Acknowledgements

I gratefully acknowledge the support of the Leverhulme Trust (ECF-2016-425) and the Kelabit community.

#### References

- Chen, Victoria & Bradley McDonnell. 2019. Western Austronesian Voice. *Annual Review of Linguistics* 5, 173-195.
- Dalrymple, Mary & Irina Nikolaeva. 2011. *Objects and Information Structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Erteschik-Shir, Nomi. 2007. *Information structure: The syntax-discourse interface*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fauconnier, Stefanie. 2011. Differential Agent Marking and animacy. *Lingua* 121(3), 533-547
- Fauconnier, Stefanie & Jean-Christophe Verstraete. 2014. A and O as each other's mirror image? Problems with markedness reversal. *Linguistic Typology* 18(1), 3-49.
- Hemmings, Charlotte. 2019. "Documentation of the Kelabit Language, Sarawak, Malaysia." SOAS, Endangered Languages Archive. <a href="https://elar.soas.ac.uk/Collection/MPI1029735">https://elar.soas.ac.uk/Collection/MPI1029735</a>.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2008. Basic notions of information structure. *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 55(3-4), 243-276.
- Kroeger, Paul R. 1998. Language Classification in Sarawak: A Status Report. *The Sarawak Museum Journal* 53(74), 137-173.
- Lambrecht, Knud. 1994. *Information Structure and Sentence Form: Topic, Focus, and the Mental Representations of Discourse Referents*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Latrouite, Anja & Arndt Riester. 2018. The role of information structure for morphosyntactic choices in Tagalog. In Sonja Riesberg, Asako Shiohara & Atsuko Utsumi (eds.), *Information Structure in Austronesian Languages*. Berlin: Language Science Press.
- McGregor, William B. 2010. Optional ergative case marking systems in a typological-semiotic perspective. *Lingua* 120(7), 1610-1636.
- Mithun, Marianne. 1992. Is Basic Word Order Universal. In Doris L. Payne (ed.), *Pragmatics of Word Order Flexibility*, 15-61. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Riesberg, Sonja. 2014. Symmetrical voice and linking in western Austronesian languages, Pacific Linguistics,. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Van der Wal, Jenneke. 2016. Diagnosing focus. Studies in Language 40(2), 259-301.

Witzlack-Makarevich, Alena & Ilja A. Seržant. 2018. Differential argument marking: Patterns of variation. In Alena Witzlack-Makarevich & Ilja A. Seržant (eds.), *The Diachronic Typology of Differential Argument Marking*. Berlin: Language Science Press.

# **Appendix**

Generic Undergoer

# **Context 1. Undergoer = Topic, Actor & Verb = New**

Rats live stressful and dangerous lives. The noise of the traffic makes them nervous and sick. Dogs chase them. And <u>also cats catch and kill rats</u>, when they get the chance.

- 1. Useyng ih peh, ngenep idih ngatey teh=ideh labo i'eyk cat DEF PT AV.catch and AV.kill PT=3PL.NOM rat 'Cats, they also catch and kill rats' = **AV, VSO**
- 2. Beruh teh useyng ngenep mey ngatey labo nih also PT cat AV.catch and AV.kill rat DEM 'Cats also catch and kill rats' = AV, SVO
- 3. Kineh teh useyng dih ngenep mey kuman ideh Like.that PT cat DEM AV.catch and AV.eat 3PL.NOM 'Cats also catch and kill them' = AV, SVO
- 4. Useyng ngenep labo i'eyk mey ngatey deh cat AV.catch rat and AV.kill 3PL.GEN 'Cats also catch and kill rats' = AV, SVO
- 5. Useyng peh ngatey labo i'eyk cat PT AV.kill rat
  'Cats also kill rats' = **AV, SVO**
- 6. Debpen mey petayen useyng teh labo UV.IRR.catch and UV.IRR.kill cat PT rat 'Cats also catch and kill rats' = UV, VOS

# **Context 2. Undergoer = Topic, Actor = contrastively focused, Verb = Given**

It is not only wolves and foxes that threaten rats and catch them. <u>Cats also catch rats</u> and eat them afterwards.

- 1. Useyng peh ngenep labo i'eyk cat PT AV.catch rat 'Cats also catch rats' = **AV**, **SVO**
- 2. Useyng peh kereb ngenep labo i'eyk nih cat PT can AV.catch rat DEM 'Cats also catch rats' = **AV**, **SVO**

- 3. Useyng peh, tu'en deh ngenep teh labo i'eyk cat PT UV.do 3PL.GEN AV.catch PT rat 'Cats, they also catch rats' = **UV, VOS**
- 4. Useng peh, tu'en neh ngenep labo i'eyk dih cat PT UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.catch rat DEM 'Cats, they also catch rats' = **UV, VOS**
- 5. Useyng peh, debpen deh teh labo i'eyk cat PT UV.IRR.catch 3PL.GEN PT rat 'Cats, they also catch rats' = **UV, VOS**
- 6. Debpen useng teh labo
  UV.IRR.catch cat PT rat
  'Cats also catch rats' = UV, VOS

# Context 3. Actor = Topic, Verb & Undergoer = New/focused

Cats are silly creatures with nothing but nonsense on their minds. They climb up on curtains, they bring home mice. <u>Cats also chase and catch big rats</u>, when they are in the mood. Who wants to have a big rat in their house?

- 1. Useyng labo ruma' peh ngalo mey ngenep nuk AV.chase and AV.catch cat PT rat REL merar ih big
  - 'Cats also chase and catch big rats' = AV, SVO
- 2. Useyng meto' ngalo mey ngenep labo nuk merar cat as.well AV.chase and AV.catch rat REL big 'Cats also chase and catch big rats'
- 3. Kineh t=ideh metanur mey ngenep labo i'eyk Like.that PT=3PL.NOM AV.chase and AV.catch rat

nuk merar dih
REL big DEM
'Cats also chase and catch big rats' = **AV, SVO** 

4. Useyng peh, tu'en deh metanur teh labo i'eyk nuk merar cat PT UV.do 3PL.GEN AV.chase PT rat REL big 'Cats also chase and catch big rats' = **UV, VOS** 

- 5. Kereb teh ideh ngenep labo i'eyk nuk merar can PT 3PL.NOM AV.catch rat REL big 'They can catch big rats' = **AV, SVO**
- 6. Tu'en useyng ngalo mey debpen neh teh labo nuk merar UV.do cat AV.chase and UV.IRR.catch 3SG.GEN PT rat REL big 'Cats also chase and catch big rats' UV, VOS

## **Context 4. Actor & Undergoer = contrasted, Verb = given**

Life in the wilderness is pretty cruel. Lions chase and catch antelopes, sharks catch tunafish and happen to get caught and killed by humans themselves. Even here in the city these cruel laws of nature can be observed. (Our domestic) cats also chase and catch rats, and some also bring them home to continue playing with the bleeding creature.

- 1. Useyng peh ngalo mey ngenep labo i'eyk cat PT AV.chase and AV.catch rat 'Cats also chase and catch rats' **AV, SVO**
- 2. Useyng nuk aya' tauh nih, ideh ngenep labo i'eyk cat REL pet 1PL.INCL DEM 3PL.NOM AV.catch rat 'Our domestic cats also catch rats', **AV, SVO**
- 3. Useyng ineh metanur mey ngenep labo i'eyk cat DEM AV.chase and AV.catch rat 'cats also chase and catch rats', **AV, SVO**
- 4. Useyng metanur labo i'eyk cat AV.chase rat 'Cats chase rats' **AV, SVO**
- 5. Useyng peh metanur labo i'ek cat PT AV.chase rat 'cats also chase rats' **AV, SVO**
- 6. Tu'en useyng ngalo mey debpen neh labo UV.do cat AV.chase and UV.IRR.catch 3SG.GEN rat 'cats chase and catch rats', **UV, VOS**

## Context 5. All focus

When I look out of the window, I see only unhappiness and violence. Dogs chase hens and make them lose their feathers. Old bitter women scream at children and make them cry. And also (our domestic) cats catch and kill innocent rats, when no one is looking

1. useyng ngenep mey ngatey labo i'eyk nuk doo' udeng cat AV.catch and AV.kill rat REL good stay 'cats catch and kill innocent rats' AV, SVO

2. Kineh teh useyng ngenep medting ngatey labo i'eyk Like.that PT cat AV.catch until AV.kill rat

nuk na'am kudeh-kudeh nih
REL NEG how-REDUP DEM
'Cats also catch and kill innocent rats', **AV, SVO** 

3. Kineh teh useyng ngenep mey ngatey labo i'eyk Like.that PT cat AV.catch and AV.kill rat

nuk na'am inan sala' ineh
REL NEG EXIST wrong DEM
'cats also catch and kill innocent rats' **AV, SVO** 

- 4. Useyng peh ngenep idih ngatey labo i'eyk nuk doo' udeng cat PT AV.catch and AV.kill rat REL good stay 'Cats also catch and kill innocent rats' **SV, SVO**
- 5. Meto' ieh ngenep mey ngatey labo i'eyk nuk doo' udeng Also 3sg.nom av.catch and av.kill rat rel good stay 'He/she also catchs and kills innocent rats' **AV, SVO**

# **Context 6. Actor = topic, Undergoer = contrasted, Verb = given**

Cats are so aggressive. They chase squirrels. They chase birds. Some even chase dogs. I also heard that cats were seen chasing a small kangaroo in Australia. They also chase rats, of course. But that is good.

- 1. Ideh peh ngalo labo i'eyk meto'
  3PL.NOM PT AV.chase rat also
  'They also chase rats' AV, SVO
- 2. Ngalo t=ideh labo i'eyk meto' AV.chase PT=3PL.NOM rat as.well 'They also chase rats', **AV**, **VSO**
- 3. Kineh t=ideh metanur labo i'eyk Like.that PT=3PL.NOM AV.chase rat 'They also chase rats' **AV, SVO**
- 4. Doo' meto' ngalo deh labo i'eyk good as.well Av.chase 3PL.GEN rat 'They also chase rats' **AV, VSO**
- 5. Tu'en deh metanur ayu' teh labo i'eyk UV.do 3PL.GEN AV.chase EMPH PT rat 'They also chase rats' **UV, VOS**
- 6. Ngalo teh ideh labo meto' AV.chase PT 3PL.NOM rat as.well 'They also chase rats' AV, VSO

## Definite Undergoer

# **Context 1. Undergoer = topic, Verb & Actor = new**

My dog is the poorest dog in the world. He got abandoned as a puppy and almost starved. He got into an accident and lost a leg. Once an eagle attacked him. That is why there is a scar between his eyes. Also my sister kicked him so hard, when she was young, that he lost all trust in human beings.

- 1. Kineh meto' suk kinanak kudih nemetey' ieh kail-kail Like.that as.well REL sibling 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick 3SG.NOM hard-REDUP 'My sister also kicked him really hard' **AV, SVO**
- 2. Kineh teh kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' ieh Like.that PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick 3SG.NOM 'My sister also kicked him' AV, SVO
- 3. Kineh teh kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' ieh ma'it-ma'it Like.that PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick 3SG.NOM hurt-REDUP 'My sister also kicked him badly' **AV**, **SVO**
- 4. Ieh nemetey' uku' nih kail-kail 3SG.NOM PFV.AV.kick dog DEM hard-REDUP 'She kicked the dog hard' AV, SVO
- 5. Meto' kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' ieh kail-kail as.well sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick 3SG.NOM hard-REDUP 'My sister kicked him hard' **AV, SVO**
- 6. kinanak kudih suk dedtur nemetey' ieh ma'it-ma'it sibling 1sg.poss REL girl PFV.AV.kick 3sg.nom hurt-REDUP 'My sister kicked him badly' **AV, SVO**

# **Context 2. Undergoer = topic, Actor = contrasted, Verb = given**

No-one likes my dog. The neighbor is afraid of him. My siblings think he is too ugly to be caressed and kick him regularly. To be honest, my best friend has also kicked my dog more than once. He also abhors this cute little dog

- 1. ruyud kudih leng-leng suk muneng ngekuh pengeh friend 1sg.poss REL very-REDUP close to.me already uku' kudih murih let edteh ruka nemetey' ngen PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS often from with one time 'My best friend has also kicked my dog more than once' AV, SVO
- 2. lun ruyung kudih peh murih ruka teh nemetey' ieh friend 1sg.poss pr often time pr pfv.Av.kick 3sg.nom 'My friend also kicked him many times' AV, SVO

3. rurum kudih suk eleng muneng ngekuh peh nemetey' ieh friend 1SG.POSS REL very close to.me PT PFV.AV.kick 3SG.NOM

mintuda' ruka several time

'My best friend also kicked him several times' AV, SVO

4. Kawan kudih suk leng doo' peh tuda' ruka meto' ieh friend 1sg.poss as.well 3sg.nom REL very good PT several time

nemetey' uku' kudih
PFV.AV.kick dog 1sG.POSS
'My best friend also kicked my dog several times' **AV, SVO** 

5. Ruyud kudih suk leng-leng doo' ngekuh peh friend 1sg.poss REL very-REDUP good to.me PT

nemetey' uku' kudih tuda' ruka
PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS several time
'My best friend also kicked my dog several times' **AV, SVO** 

6. edteh lun ruyung kuh eleng-eleng maley ngekuh, one friend 1SG.GEN very-REDUP used to.me

pengeh teh ieh nemetey' uku' kudih lem tuda' ruka already PT 3SG.NOM PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS in several time 'a best friend of mine, he already kicked my dog many times' **AV, SVO** 

## **Context 3. Actor = topic, Verb & Undergoer = new**

My sister is so mean. She must be the meanest person in the world. She tricks people whenever she can. She steals money. She even stole my sister's money once. <u>She also kicks my dog</u>, whenever she feels like it.

- 1. Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih
  UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS
  'She kicks my dog' UV, VOS
- 2. Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS 'She kicks my dog' UV, VOS
- 3. Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih uv.do 3SG.GEN Av.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS 'She kicks my dog' UV, VOS

- 4. Tu'en metey' teh uku' kudih
  UV.do AV.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS
  'She kicks my dog' UV, VOS
- 5. Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih kidih-kidih UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS always 'She always kicks my dog' UV, VOS
- 6. Murih teh ieh metey' uku' kudih.
  often PT 3SG.NOM AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS
  'She often kicks my dog' AV, SVO

## Context 4. All focus

People are so aggressive these days. Take yesterday, someone drilled a hole into a car to steal gasoline. Then someone pushed away the neighbour's kid so that he almost fell in front of a car. Also my sister kicked my dog very badly. The poor little guy cannot walk properly anymore.

- 1. Kinanak detur kudih nemetey' uku' kudih ngen padan kereb. Sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS with strength 'My sister kicked my dog very badly' AV, SVO
- 2. Mey teh kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' uku' kudih kail-kail And PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS hard-REDUP 'And my sister kicked my dog very badly' **AV, SVO**
- 3. Kineh teh kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' uku' kudih bura'-bura' Like.that PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS wound-REDUP 'And my sister kicked my dog very badly' **AV, SVO**
- 4. Kineh teh kinanak dedtur kudih metey' uku' kudih pereg-pereg Like.that PT sibling girl 1SG.POSS AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS bad-REDUP 'And my sister kicked my dog very badly' **AV, SVO**
- 5. Meto' kinanak dedtur kudih nemetey' uku' kudih kail-kail as.well sibling girl 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS hard-REDUP 'And my sister kicked my dog very badly' **AV, SVO**
- 6. Pengeh teh kinanak kudih nemetey' uku' kudih kail-kail.
  Already PT sibling 1SG.POSS PFV.AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS hard-REDUP
  'My sister already kicked my dog very badly' AV, SVO

# **Context 5. Actor = topic, Undergoer = contrasted, Verb = given**

It is not only birds and cats that my sister hates and kicks when she gets a chance. <u>She also</u> kicks my dog.

- 1. Tu'en neh metey' meto' uku' kudih uv.do 3sg.gen Av.kick as.well dog 1sg.poss 'She also kicks my dog' **UV, VOS**
- 2. Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih meto' uv.do 3SG.GEN Av.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS as.well 'She also kicks my dog' **UV, VOS**
- 3. Murih t=ieh metey' uku' kudih meto' often PT=3SG.NOM AV.kick dog 1SG.POSS as.well 'She often kicks my dog as well' **AV, SVO**
- 4. Tu'en neh metey' teh uku' kudih beruh.
  UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.kick PT dog 1SG.POSS also
  'She also kicks my dog' UV, VOS
- 5. Kineh teh taru' neh uku' kudih. Like.that PT do.root 3SG.GEN dog 1SG.POSS 'She does the same to my dog' **no voice**
- 6. Tu'en neh metey' teh duih uku' dih meto' uv.do 3sg.gen Av.kick PT 1sg.poss dog DEM as.well 'She also kicks my dog' UV, VOS

# **Context 6. Actor & Undergoer = topic, Verb = new**

My sister and my dog do not really get along. My dog barks at my sister. <u>He also bites her sometimes.</u>

- 1. Kerepen neh teh ieh temidteh ih.
  UV.IRR.bite 3SG.GEN PT 3SG.NOM sometimes
  'He sometimes bites her' **UV, VOS**
- 2. Temidteh dih tu'en neh ngerep teh ieh meto' sometimes DEM UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.bite PT 3SG.NOM as.well 'Sometimes he also bites her' UV, VOS
- 3. Temidteh dih tu'en neh ngerep t=ieh. sometimes DEM UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.bite PT=3SG.NOM 'Sometimes he bites her' **UV, VOS**
- 4. Tu'en neh ngetep t=ieh temidteh ih. UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.bite PT=3SG.NOM sometimes 'He bites her sometimes' **UV, VOS**
- 5. Temidteh dih tu'en neh ngerep teh kinanak kudih sometimes DEM UV.do 3SG.GEN AV.bite PT sibling 1SG.POSS 'Sometimes he bites my sister' UV, VOS

6. Temidteh dih ketebpen uku' kudih teh suk kinanak dedtur kudih. sometimes DEM UV.IRR.bite dog 1SG.POSS PT REL sibling girl 1SG.POSS 'Sometimes my dog bites my sister' **UV, VOS**